

Sinclair
Broadcasting
directly benefits
from the changes the
Bush administration
is promoting, and
its airing of
"Stolen Honor" only
two weeks prior to
the election is
clearly designed to
influence the close
presidential race.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest.

I am a proponent of
free speech, and I
believe the
documentary has a
right to be aired
and that Sinclair
has a right to
express its views.
My difference with
Mr. Powell is that I
don't believe that
Sinclair should have
the right to use the
public airwaves to
attempt to
manipulate a
presidential race.
This isn't serving
the public interest
- it's allowing
powerful corporate
interests to use
publicly funded
systems to serve
their own interests.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. Thank
you.